

Parcel-level construction data from the Maricopa County Assessor is aggregated by block group. Time is identified by **the decade by** which half of the present-day structures had been built.





Shaping the City: Development Trajectories and Land Cover Patterns in Phoenix, Arizona

Kevin Kane, John P. Connors, and Christopher Galletti

School of Geographical Sciences & Urban Planning, Arizona State University

- We combine high-resolution (1m) NAIP imagery from 2010 with building construction data by parcel. • We delineate areas (census block groups) in Phoenix based on the year by which most (>50% of land
- area) of the present-day structures were built.
- We compare landscape metrics measuring diversity and shape complexity to observe differences in land cover between older and newer-developing areas of Phoenix.



MOTIVATION FOR RESEARCH

- ecological implications.
- parcels, not within them.

- squares, etc. rather than whole lots.



KEY RESULTS

- when using 30-m resolution data.

POSSIBLE CAUSES OR IMPLICATIONS 1.) Commercial uses fled the downtown area in the 1960s in favor of malls and arterial streets, resulting in a different style of business land use with larger footprints but more within-lot variation 2.) Increasing minimum residential lot sizes could result in increasing shape complexity within individual lots 3.) Possible higher incidence of leapfrog development since the 1990s 4.) May take longer to fill-in older areas (though, high vacancy possible too)

	1950	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000
940	-	AI	AI	AI CONTAG	SIEI FRAC ED	SIEI* MSIDI* SIDI* SHEI* IJI* CONTAG* FRAC* ED
950		CONTAG ED*	SIEI MSIDI* SIDI SHEI CONTAG ED*	SIEI* MSIDI* SIDI SHEI* IJI CONTAG* FRAC ED*	SIEI* MSIDI* SIDI* SHEI* IJI* CONTAG FRAC* ED*	SIEI* MSIDI* SIDI* SHEI* IJI* CONTAG FRAC* ED*
960			-	-	AI DIVISION IJI* FRAC*	SIEI* SIDI SHEI* IJI* FRAC*
970				-	IJI* FRAC*	SIEI* SHEI* IJI* FRAC*
980					FRAC*	SIEI* SIDI IJI* FRAC*
990						IJI* FRAC*



Data Sources USDA Farm Service Agency, ASU GIS Data Repository, Maricopa Co. Assessor's Office, Maricopa Assoc. of Gov'ts

Acknowledgements

•This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under grant no. BCS-1026865 Central Arizona-Phoenix Long-Term Ecological Research (CAP LTER) •This research was funded by a CAP graduate student grant to John Connors and Kevin Kane over summer 2013

• A common critique of urban sprawl is that it leads to increased land fragmentation, which has negative social and

• <u>Scale</u>: most earlier studies of urban extent rely on 30m resolution data, which analyzes differences between land

<u>Trajectory</u>: most studies rely on some measure of distance to downtown (such as a linear transect) to identify periurban or newer-developing regions, though cities are not uniformly concentric.

• Consistent with theory, existing work generally finds evidence of increased fragmentation farther from the city center. • Our study changes the scale at which fragmentation is observed; distinguishing between individual trees, sidewalk

• Our study delineates recently-developed (peri-urban) areas by time rather than distance to downtown.

Results confirm substantial variation in present-day land cover characteristics based on periods of development: landscape structure is heavily path-dependent.

Block groups that developed principally during the nineties and 2000s were significantly different than earlier-developing regions in many metrics. They differed most from areas developed during the 1950s. Results contrast somewhat with previous studies including Irwin and Bockstael (2007), Shrestha et al. (2012), and Zhang et al. (2013), who generally find increased levels of fragmentation near the urban fringe

While decreases in landscape diversity were minimal over historical zones, the shape complexity of land cover in recently-developed areas is far higher.

Notably, landscape shapes appear more complex in newly-developing regions as opposed to older ones. Sprawl is NOT uniformly an example of increasingly fragmented areas on the urban fringe. Development trajectory is heavily dependent on time period as well as on scale.

5.) Stronger preference for xeric (vs. mesic/grassy) landscaping in newer, outlying areas populated by migrants

