# Spatial distribution of ecologically relevant urban air pollutants in Sonoran Desert

Elizabeth M Cook and Sharon J Hall, School of Life Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona

#### Urban air quality can be a resource or stressor

Cities occupy a small area of Earth's land, but urban-generated compounds, such as carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ), ozone ( $O_3$ ) and reactive **nitrogen (N)**, impact air quality at local to global scales.

Despite their ecological relevance as a *resource* or *stressor* to primary producers (**Table 1**) the co-occurring distribution of elevated  $CO_2$ ,  $O_3$  and N and net ecological impacts in protected ecosystems is unknown.

**Table 1:** Urban atmospheric compounds act *individually* as either a *resource* or
 stressor affecting primary production. Their net ecological impact is unknown.

Atmospheric compounds		Ecological relevance
<b>Carbon Dioxide</b> (CO <sub>2</sub> )	1	Increase water-use and nitrogen-u stimulate primary production
<b>Ozone</b> (O <sub>3</sub> )	↓	Foliar cell damage; <i>inhibit photos</i> and stomatal conductance; early se
<b>Reactive Nitrogen</b> (NO <sub>x</sub> , NH <sub>3</sub> , HNO <sub>3</sub> )	↑	Alleviate nutrient limitation; <i>stimula</i> <i>production;</i> alter species compos

#### Monitoring air quality in protected desert areas

Local air quality agencies monitor  $O_3$  and nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) for human health concerns, but monitoring is often restricted to residential areas. Ecologically important compounds, such as nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>), ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>), and ground level CO<sub>2</sub> are rarely monitored in cities or protected lands.

Using co-located passive samplers (A:  $HNO_3$ ,  $NO_x$ ,  $NH_3$ , and  $O_3$ ), ion exchange resin (IER) collectors (**B**:  $NH_4$ - $NO_3$ ), and infrared gas analyzers (**C**:  $CO_2$ ), we examined the spatial distribution of ecologically relevant compounds in the protected desert areas in and surrounding Phoenix, Arizona.

Additionally, we examined reactive N and  $O_3$ concentrations along a small spatial scale 1500 m transect from the exterior to interior of one large desert protected area in the city.



Figure 1: Ongoing monitoring sites in protected desert in and around Phoenix, Arizona for N deposition (17 sites),  $O_3$  (10 sites), and  $CO_2$  (3 sites).





se efficiency

ynthesis enescence

late primary ition

## Long-term total N deposition greatest in the city and during summer monsoon season



**Figure 2**: Long-term total N deposition (kgN ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> +/-1SE) calculated with IER throughfall estimates adjusted for potential underestimation of dry deposition. Accounting for dry deposition, N deposition is significantly greater in urban region and during the summer monsoon period (June-September).

#### **Gaseous dry NH**<sub>3</sub> deposition is a significant contribution to nitrogen inputs in the city



**Figure 3**: Summer gaseous dry N deposition (NO<sub>x</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, and NH<sub>3</sub>, kgN ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) estimated from passive samplers is greater in urban than upwind or downwind regions. Larger circles represent higher total N deposition.

### O<sub>3</sub> concentrations significantly higher in protected desert downwind of the city





## **CO**<sub>2</sub> varies little among regions



**Figure 5**: Average ground level (2 meter) CO<sub>2</sub> (ppm) concentrations varied little among locations, although diurnal variation in the urban site was greater than upwind and downwind sites.  $CO_2$  levels spike during rain events.

## Next steps toward multi-pollutant critical load

Our findings highlight the need to **monitor and regulate ecologically** relevant atmospheric compounds that impact ecosystem structure, functioning and services at multiple spatial scales.

In addition to continued monitoring over multiple seasons, we plan to develop a **spatially explicit multi-pollutant critical load** to address the ecological impacts of co-occurring elevated urban pollutants.

Acknowledgements: We especially thank Diane Alexander (USFS), Ron Pope (AZ Air Quality Dept), David Huber (ISU), and Quincy Stewart, Stevan Earl, Cathy Kochert, and the Hall Lab for help with methods, field and and lab work. This work is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under grant no. DEB-0423704 and BCS-1026865 CAP LTER.





Figure 4: Ozone (ppb) concentrations estimated from passive samplers (average over 2-3 week periods) is significantly greater downwind than in urban protected desert parks. Ozone does not vary between park interior and exterior. Larger circles represent higher ozone concentrations.